WATFORD BOROUGH COUNCIL

ANNUAL INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT 2010/2011

Contents

| | Page |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Introduction | 2 |
| Audit opinion | 2 |
| Key issues arising 2010/2011 | 3 |
| Basis of opinion | 5 |
| Fraud investigations/awareness | 6 |
| Internal audit quality assurance | 6 |
| Appendices | 8 - 10 |

1 Introduction

An earlier version of this report was submitted to the Audit Committee before the end of the 2010/2011 financial year and the completion of Internal Audit's programme of work. At that time, the audit opinion outlined within the report was an interim opinion only. This version of the report is based on completion of Internal Audit work for 2010/2011. Following completion of this work I have determined that it is possible to amend the opinion on the overall control environment from that reported to the Audit Committee in March 2011.

This report summarises the work undertaken by Internal Audit in 2010/2011. It covers functions performed directly by Watford BC and by Shared Services on its behalf. The report meets the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006 by:

- (i) including an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's control environment
- (ii) disclosing any qualifications to that opinion, together with the reasons for the qualification
- (iii) presenting a summary of audit work undertaken to formulate the opinion, including reliance placed on the work of other assurance bodies
- (iv) drawing attention to any issue the Head of Internal Audit judges particularly relevant to the production of the Annual Governance Statement
- (v) comparing the work actually undertaken with the work that was planned and summarising the performance of the Internal Audit team against its performance measures and
- (vi) commenting on compliance with the CIPFA standards and communicating the results of the Internal Audit quality assurance programme.

The Accounts and Audit (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2006 require the Council to maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its accounting records and of its systems of internal control in accordance with proper practices in relation to internal control.

The Council is responsible for ensuring its business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards and that public money is safeguarded, properly accounted for and used economically, efficiently and effectively. The work undertaken by Internal Audit is not a substitute for management's responsibility for maintaining sound management practices, including robust controls.

The report is one of the key sources of assurance used in the production of the Annual Governance Statement.

2 Audit Opinion

Having reviewed the work undertaken by Internal Audit I am able to give a satisfactory assurance on the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control environment.

Whilst there have been few significant concerns arising from the majority of the audits undertaken there are some exceptions (see 3 below) which are predominantly associated with the major changes brought about by the introduction of Shared Services and, in particular, the introduction of new systems. Reference to these is included in the Annual Governance Statement.

The degree of change has been considerable over the last 18 months or so and any organisation going through the same level of changes might well experience operational problems.

Members and Officers are aware of the various problems and are working towards solutions.

The factors taken into account in forming the opinion are set out in more detail in sections 3, 4 and 5 below.

Every internal audit report contains an audit opinion on the effectiveness of controls within the system/function/activity reviewed, highlighting any significant weaknesses which could affect the Council's control environment. The opinion provided in each report falls into one of the following categories:-

Full Assurance – sound controls that are consistently applied.

Qualified Assurance – a satisfactory report - basically sound controls but some inconsistent application puts some control objectives at risk.

Limited Assurance – unsatisfactory controls or their application puts some control objectives at risk.

No Assurance – fundamental failure of control.

These individual opinions feed into the overall opinion provided in this report.

3 Key Issues Arising in 2010/2011

It is the practice to carry out audits of the key financial systems towards the end of the financial year in order to be able to give an opinion on the effectiveness of controls within each system throughout the whole year. This allows assurance to be given not only to Heads of Services but also to the external auditors when they carry out their final accounts work. Consequently, the following shortcomings associated with those specific systems have been identified/verified by Internal Audit. These matters, where appropriate, have been reflected in the Annual Governance Statement.

• Revenues and Benefits

An independent consultant was appointed to review procedures across the Revenues and Benefits Service. The review identified a number of significant concerns e.g. over reconciliations and internal controls and an action plan was drawn up to address them. Internal Audit carried out follow up audits (February 2011) to check on the implementation of the recommendations made by the consultant but was only able to verify that some had been actioned.

Bank Reconciliations

The General Account (the Council's main bank account) had not been reconciled until January 2011. The lengthy delay in completing what should be a monthly process represents a significant control weakness.

• Reconciliations to the Financial Management System (COA)

An audit of reconciliations between the various feeder systems (Academy, payroll etc) and COA carried out in January/February 2011 identified that there had been no reconciliations carried out between the Revenues and Benefits system (Academy) for Council Tax, NNDR and Housing and Council Tax Benefits.

• Payroll

The outsourcing of the payroll function led to a loss of payroll expertise and internal controls associated with an in-house provider. An audit of payroll concentrated on procedures within HR who reported that, during the year, improvements had been made in their own procedures but that some basic checks previously carried out by the payroll team were now the responsibility of NorthgateArinso. Input and output controls within NorthgateArinso will form the main focus of the 2011/12 payroll audit.

• ICT

The experience of users during the year and the outcome of audits undertaken illustrate the need to ensure that the capacity, security and resilience of hardware and software must be adequate for current and future requirements. An audit of change management identified the need for a formal change management policy to ensure that consistent, secure procedures are in place.

4 Basis of Opinion

4.1 Work Undertaken

The work undertaken by Internal Audit during the 2010/2011 financial year was in accordance with the Audit Plan as approved by the Audit Committee. The work completed has been sufficient to enable me to provide a reasoned opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the control environment.

Details of the audits undertaken and a note of the progress on each one are shown in Appendix 1.

4.2 The Scope of the Audit Plan

The 2010/2011 Audit Plan was based on discussions with senior managers to identify their key concerns, on my knowledge of developments within the Council, on Internal Audit's own long-term risk assessment and fraud risk assessment, on the requirements and results of external assessments and on a review of risk registers.

The draft plan was discussed with the Head of Strategic Finance and approved by the Audit Committee in March 2010.

The planning process should therefore help ensure that all key systems and significant risks have been taken into account.

4.3 Implementation of Recommendations

When an audit is completed weaknesses/areas requiring improvement are discussed with senior management. This leads to an action plan being drawn up which shows agreed measures to be taken and the timescales within which they will be implemented.

All recommendations are followed up to ensure that action has been taken, or where not, that there is an acceptable reason. All reports containing High or Medium priority recommendations are followed up on a monthly basis with written confirmation that recommendations have been implemented sought from Heads of Services. Occasional follow up visits are carried out and all recommendations relating to key systems are routinely followed up during the next planned audit. Failure to implement recommendations is now reported to the Leadership Team and to the Audit Committee.

These arrangements should ensure that action is taken to remedy any issues identified during the year.

4.4 Other Factors

• My observations arising from requests to Internal Audit for assistance, attendance at meetings, committee agendas and minutes etc

- Progress made in tackling those significant control issues raised in my last annual report and the governance issues raised in the 2009/2010 Annual Governance Statement which I am able to comment on.
- Internal Audit has had sufficient resource to enable it to complete its work programme.
- The absence of material changes in the Council's objectives or activities in the year under review.
- There have been no limitations placed on the scope of work undertaken by Internal Audit, on its access to records and information or on the provision of explanations as necessary.

4.5 External Assurance

I have also taken into account the outcome of work undertaken by the external auditors and by the consultants (ISCAS) who carried out a review of operations within the Revenues and Benefits Service.

5 Fraud Investigations/Awareness

With the exception of benefit fraud, which is investigated by the Benefit Fraud Team, Internal Audit is responsible for investigating suspected cases of fraud and corruption. There have been no cases that needed to be reported to the Audit Committee.

6 Internal Audit - Quality Assurance

The Internal Audit team seeks to operate in accordance with the standards laid down by CIPFA in the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK (2006) which sets out auditing standards under the following eleven headings:

Scope of Internal Audit Independence Ethics for Internal Auditors Audit Committees Relationships Staffing, Training and Continuing Professional Development Audit Strategy and Planning Undertaking Audit Work Due Professional Care Reporting Performance, Quality and Effectiveness.

Based on reviews undertaken to date, I am satisfied that there are no material areas of non-compliance with the Code.

Internal Audit's work is subject to review by the external auditors on an annual basis. There has been no criticism of our work over the past year.

Internal Audit has its own quality assurance controls. Standard procedures and working papers are used. For every audit I review the working papers and all versions of the audit report. Any concerns/queries are discussed with individual auditors.

Work on individual audits is carried out by auditors with the appropriate level of skill and experience.

Use is made of customer satisfaction questionnaires as a means of gaining independent comment on our service. Any issues raised are discussed with the customer and the auditor. We try to learn from any mistakes we may make or from suggestions for improvement.

We work with colleagues from other audit teams in Hertfordshire in the exchange of ideas and best practice and also help facilitate joint training sessions covering the latest developments in internal auditing. All auditors from participating authorities attend these sessions.

Mark Allen Acting Audit Manager 15th August 2011

Appendix 1

| Work Progress | on Individual Audits | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 2010/2011 | | | | |

| 2010/2011 Project | Progress as at | Days | Days |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | 15 th August 2011 | Allocated 2010/11 | Taken 2010/11 |
| Audits Brought forward – 2009/2010 | | | |
| Use of Natural Resources | Final report | - | 0.5 |
| Budget Monitoring | Final report | - | 0.5 |
| Radius | Final report | - | 1.5 |
| Data Quality | Final report | - | 0.5 |
| Commercial Rents | Final report | - | 1 |
| Secure Data Transmission | Report not | - | 5 |
| | issued. Dealt | | |
| | with on a | | |
| | Service by | | |
| | Service basis | | |
| Financial Management System | Final report | - | 0.5 |
| Partnerships | Final report | - | 1.75 |
| 2009/10 Audits - Total | | - | 11.25 |
| | | | |
| 2010/2011 Audits | | | |
| Shared Audits | | | |
| Parking Control | Final report 12 10 10 | 20 | 23 |
| Post/Cheque Handling | Final report 01 11 10 | 10 | 15 |
| IT – BACS Payments | Final report 17 03 11 | 10 | 11.5 |
| Payroll | Final report 21 03 11 | 20 | 29 |
| IT – IT Service Desk and Change Management | Final report 24 03 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Creditors | Final report 21 03 11 | 20 | 21 |
| Debtors | Final report 30 03 11 | 20 | 23 |
| Benefits Administration | Final report 15 07 11 | 25 | 22 |
| Council Tax | Final report 15 07 11 | 20 | 17 |
| NNDR | Final report 15 07 11 | 20 | 17 |
| Financial Management System | Final report 08 04 11 | 25 | 31 |

| VAT | Final report 30 06 11 | 10 | 10 |
|--|----------------------------------|----|------|
| Procurement | Final report 15 12 10 | 15 | 12 |
| IT – Information Governance | Draft report 15 03 11 | 12 | 12 |
| IT – Disaster Recovery and Back up, replaced by Remote Working | Draft report 25 05 11 | 10 | 9 |
| Watford BC | | | |
| Trade waste | Final report 04 08 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Performance Management | Final report 15 11 10 | 15 | 16.5 |
| Treasury Management | Final report 18 10 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Risk Management | Final report 13 12 10 | 10 | 10.5 |
| Homelessness and Housing Needs | Final report 01 11 10 | 5 | 4 |
| Authorised signatories | Final reports (3) 18 10 10 | 3 | 4 |
| Community Centres transfer | Final report 13 10 10 | 8 | 7 |
| H&S follow up | Final report 01 11 10 | 10 | 13 |
| Leisure Management Contract monitoring | Final memo 24 03 11 | 5 | 0.25 |
| Asset Management | Final report 04 03 11 | 12 | 18.9 |
| Grants to Voluntary Sector | Final report 23 12 10 | 10 | 16 |
| Ben Subsidy Claim – inc. in Benefit Administration | Final report 15 07 11 | 15 | |
| Budgetary Control | Final report 04 04 11 | 10 | 12.8 |
| Data Quality (inc. security) | Final report 12 08 11 | 10 | 3.2 |
| Project Management | Final report 15 11 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Cash and Banking | Final report 29 03 11 | 18 | 8.1 |

APPENDIX 2

LOCAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES 2010/2011

| Criteria | Target p.a. (as per Audit Plan) | To 31 March 2011 | Comment |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| % of annual audit plan achieved. Based on number of audits. | 92% | 93% | Actual 2009/10 – 94.5%. Progress throughout the year is continually monitored by the Audit Manager. |
| Sickness – average | 4 | 2.4 | (Actual days for 2009/10 – 2) |
| Training – average | 4 | 3 | Time includes for internal and external seminars/training. All auditors hold a relevant qualification and two are now studying for a higher qualification. (Actual 2009/10 – 5.1). |

| Criteria | Target p.a. | Actual To 31 March 2011 | Comment |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Final audit reports issued within 10 available working days of agreement to draft report. | 100% | 100% | (Actual 2009/10 – 100 %) |
| Level of customer satisfaction | 94% | 93% | Based on 3 questionnaires returned since 1 st April. (Actual 2009/10 –98.2%). |